Independent Auditor’s Report

To the General Shareholders’ Meeting and Supervisory Board of Bank Pekao S.A.

Report on the Audit of the Annual Consolidated Financial Statements

Opinion

We have audited the accompanying annual consolidated financial statements of Bank Pekao S.A. Group (the “Group”), whose parent entity is Bank Pekao S.A. (the “Parent Entity”), which comprise:
— the consolidated statement of financial position as at 31 December 2018, and, for the period from 1 January to 31 December 2018:
— the consolidated income statement;
— the consolidated statement of comprehensive income;
— the consolidated statement of changes in equity;
— the consolidated statement of cash flows; and
— notes comprising a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Group:
— give a true and fair view of the consolidated financial position of the Group as at 31 December 2018 and of its consolidated financial performance and its consolidated cash flows for the financial year then ended in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, as adopted by the European Union (“IFRS EU”) and the adopted accounting policy;
— comply, in all material respects, with regard to form and content, with applicable to the Group laws and the provisions of the Parent Entity’s articles of association.

Our audit opinion on the consolidated financial statements is consistent with our report to the Audit Committee dated 25 February 2019.
Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with:

— International Standards on Auditing as adopted by the National Council of Certified Auditors as National Standards on Auditing (the “NSA”); and
— the act on certified auditors, audit firms and public oversight dated 11 May 2017 (Official Journal from 2017, item 1089 with amendments) (the “Act on certified auditors”); and
— other applicable laws.

Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor’s Responsibility for the audit of the consolidated financial statements section of our report.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Independence and Ethics

We are independent of the Group in accordance with the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (“IFAC Code”) issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants as adopted by the resolutions of the National Council of Certified Auditors, as well as other independence and ethical requirements, applicable to audit engagement in Poland. We have fulfilled all ethical responsibilities resulting from those requirements and IFAC Code. During our audit the key certified auditor and the audit firm remained independent of the Group in accordance with requirements of the Act on certified auditors and the EU Regulation.

Key Audit Matters

Key audit matters are those matters that, in our professional judgment, were of most significance in our audit of the consolidated financial statements of the current period. They are the most significant assessed risks of material misstatements, including those due to fraud, described below and we performed appropriate audit procedures to address these matters. Key audit matters were addressed in the context of our audit of the consolidated financial statements as a whole, and in forming our opinion thereon we have summarised our response to those risks. We do not provide a separate opinion on these matters. We have determined the following key audit matters:

**Impairment losses on financial assets and provisions for off-balance sheet commitments**

The carrying amount of loans and advances to customers amounted to PLN 130,108 million as at 31 December 2018 and PLN 133,308 million as at 31 December 2017. The value of off-balance sheet commitments amounted to PLN 44,027 million as at 31 December 2018 and PLN 43,970 million as at 31 December 2017. Impairment allowances amounted to PLN 6,152 million as at 31 December 2018, as compared to PLN 5,358 million as at 31 December 2017. Provisions for off-balance sheet commitments amounted to PLN 239 million as at 31 December 2018 and PLN 235 million as at 31 December 2017.

The result of impairment losses recognised on financial assets and provisions for off-balance sheet liabilities amounted to PLN 504 million for 2018 and PLN 521 million for 2017.

Reference to the consolidated financial statements: Note 16 “Net impairment losses on financial assets and off-balance sheet commitments”, note 29 “Loans and advances to customers”, note 43 “Provisions” and note 6.2 “Credit risk”.


**Key audit matter**

Loans and advances to customers are measured at amortised cost less impairment allowances. The procedures to estimate impairment allowance comprise two major phases – identification of the impairment triggers or significant increase of credit risk and measurement of expected credit losses.


The impairment triggers and significant increase of credit risk are identified mainly on the basis of payment delinquencies and the current probability of default as compared to the date of initial recognition of the exposure, while impairment allowances are estimated collectively with the use of statistical methods on the basis of the risk parameters. Risk parameters such as probability of default (PD), loss given default (LGD) or exposure at default (EAD) are determined for individual loan exposures or homogenous groups of exposures on the basis of their historical performance, taking into account the expected macroeconomic conditions.

Impairment loss allowances constitute the estimation of expected credit losses to be incurred during the next 12 months or within the lifetime of the exposure. We assessed this area to be the key audit matter because, taking into consideration the size of the loan portfolio, estimation of expected credit losses has a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements and is associated with uncertainty and requires substantial judgement to be applied by the Management Board of the Parent Entity. The main risk area is the failure to identify existing impairment triggers and the significant increase of credit risk as well as the application of inappropriate data to calculate the parameters of statistical model, which may not adequately reflect the expected credit losses existing at a given balance sheet date. In addition, there is a risk of making errors in the process of calculating the impairment allowances.

**Our procedures**

As part of our audit procedures, we performed a critical assessment of the process and accounting policies regarding the impairment allowances on loans and advances to customers, as well as a critical evaluation of the control environment with particular attention paid to the automated controls in the Group’s IT systems.

Our audit procedures conducted with the support of our internal credit risk and IT specialists included i.a.:

- critical assessment of the design and implementation of relevant internal controls (including general IT system controls) in the process of the classification of loan exposures into stages and the estimation of expected credit losses (including collateral value monitoring) as well as testing the operating effectiveness of those controls. Our procedures were focused in particular on controls of timely monitoring of debtors’ standing and calculation of impairment allowances;

- critical assessment of methodology used for calculating the risk parameters and estimation of impairment allowances using both the individual and collective approach in terms of their compliance with the IFRS 9 requirements and the best market practice;

- analysis of the structure and dynamics of the loan portfolio including quality ratios and provision coverage in order to identify groups of loans with underestimated impairment allowances.

Specific audit procedures for loans and advances to customers assessed using group and portfolio methods:

- review of the results of the impairment model validation process, critical assessment of assumptions and input data used in the estimation of expected credit loss models for specific key credit risk parameters, such as the transfer logic between stages, probability of
default (PD) or loss given default (LGD);
- recalculation of selected elements of risk parameters used in the calculation of expected credit losses using statistical methods;
- assessment of the adequacy of the credit losses expected within 12 months by the reference to credit losses actually incurred on homogenous portfolios in the past;
- evaluation of the correctness of the credit risk model parameters' assignment to particular customer loans on the basis of homogenous portfolio characteristics, e.g. rating class, past due status;
- independent recalculation of expected credit losses using statistical methods;
- analysis of the correctness of the allocation of customer loans into stages taking into account the qualitative and quantitative criteria;
- assessment of the impact of macroeconomic factors on particular credit parameters of the expected credit loss models and the calculation of expected credit loss allowances.

For loans and advances to customers assessed individually on the basis of a selected sample – assessment of the appropriateness of identification of a significant increase of credit risk and impairment triggers and for impaired assets – critical assessment of relevant assumptions adopted by the Group and independent calculation of impairment allowances.

Impact of the first-time adoption of International Financial Reporting Standard 9

The method and impacts of implementing International Financial Reporting Standard 9 – financial instruments (“IFRS 9”) are presented in the consolidated financial statements in note 5.10 “New standards, interpretations and amendments to published standards, that have been approved and published by the European Union and came into force as at 1 January 2018 or thereafter”. The impact of IFRS 9 adoption on retained earning amounts to PLN 997 million.

Key audit matter

Implementation of IFRS 9 since 1 January 2018, establishing new rules for recognition, classification and measurement of financial instruments, required an estimation of the impact of the new standard’s adoption on the opening balance as at 1 January 2018

Our procedures

In addition to the procedures for impairment allowances and provisions for off-balance sheet commitments described in the previous key audit matter, our procedures for evaluation the new standard’s adoption, carried out
and accounting for the change in the retained earnings as of that date.

The new rules of classification and measurement of financial instruments are based on the business models as adopted by the Group to manage the financial instruments and the characteristics of contractual cash flows expected from the financial instruments – the so called SPPI test (solely payments of principal and interest) performed in order to determine whether contractual cash flows represent only the repayment of the principal and interest on the outstanding balance. Regarding impairment of financial instruments, implementation of the standard required the use of advanced statistical models in order to measure significant increase of credit risk and to estimate expected credit losses for the loan portfolio over the lifetime of the exposure.

We have considered this area to be a key audit matter because the application of the new standard required significant changes in business processes, information and reporting systems and the acquisition of the new data set to be used for the valuation of financial assets. Meeting the classification and measurement criteria and calculation of expected credit losses also requires from the Parent Entity’s Management to use substantial judgement. The main risk areas connected to the implementation of the new standard concerned the appropriate definition of business models for the Group’s financial assets and interpretation of the results of the SPPI tests as well as estimation of expected credit losses described in more details under “Impairment allowances on financial assets and off-balance sheet commitments”. In addition, IFRS 9, by amending IFRS 7 Financial Instruments: Disclosures, resulted in an extended scope of disclosures required in the consolidated financial statements.

Other matter

The consolidated financial statements of the Group as at and for the year ended 31 December 2017 were audited by another auditor, who expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements on 26 February 2018.

with the support of our specialists in the valuation of financial instruments, included i.a.:

- assessment of the methodology applied by the Group for the classification and measurement of financial instruments in terms of its compliance with IFRS 9 requirements, as well as the best market practice;
- evaluation of the correctness of assigning financial assets to business models, including the evaluation of the sales of financial instruments made in the audited period;
- independent testing of the correctness of SPPI tests performed by the Group;
- assessment of the fair value measurement of financial instruments;
- assessment of completeness and correctness of disclosures, including those related to the first-time adoption of IFRS 9 and quantitative and qualitative requirements, relating in particular to credit risk of financial assets.
Responsibility of the Management Board and Supervisory Board of the Parent Entity for the consolidated financial statements

The Management Board of the Parent Entity is responsible for the preparation of consolidated financial statements that give a true and fair view of the consolidated financial position of the Group and of its consolidated financial performance in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, as adopted by the European Union, the adopted accounting policy, the applicable to the Group laws and the provisions of the Parent Entity's articles of association and for such internal control as the Management Board of the Parent Entity determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, the Management Board of the Parent Entity is responsible for assessing the Group's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the Management Board of the Parent Entity either intends to liquidate the Group or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

According to the accounting act dated 29 September 1994 (Official Journal from 2018, item 395 with amendments) (the “Accounting Act”), the Management Board and members of the Supervisory Board of the Parent Entity are required to ensure that the consolidated financial statements are in compliance with the requirements set forth in the Accounting Act. Members of the Supervisory Board of the Parent Entity are responsible for overseeing the Group’s financial reporting process.

Auditor’s Responsibility for the audit of the consolidated financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditors’ report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with NSAs will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these consolidated financial statements.

The scope of audit does not include assurance on the future viability of the Group or on the efficiency or effectiveness with which the Management Board of the Parent Entity has conducted or will conduct the affairs of the Group.

As part of an audit in accordance with NSAs, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional scepticism throughout the audit. We also:

— identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control;

— obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Group's internal control;

— evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by the Management Board of the Parent Entity;

— conclude on the appropriateness of the Management Board of the Parent Entity’s use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Group’s ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditors’ report on the audit of the consolidated financial statements to the related disclosures in the consolidated financial
statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditors' report on the audit of the consolidated financial statements. However, future events or conditions may cause the Group to cease to continue as a going concern;

— evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the consolidated financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the consolidated financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation;

— obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business activities within the Group to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and performance of the group audit. We remain solely responsible for our audit opinion.

We communicate with the Audit Committee of the Parent Entity regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

We provide the Audit Committee of the Parent Entity with a statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, and communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence, and where applicable, related safeguards.

From the matters communicated with the Audit Committee of the Parent Entity, we determine those matters that were of most significance in the audit of the consolidated financial statements of the current reporting period and are therefore the key audit matters. We describe these matters in our auditors’ report on the audit of the consolidated financial statements unless law or regulation precludes public disclosure about the matter or when, in extremely rare circumstances, we determine that a matter should not be communicated in our report because the adverse consequences of doing so would reasonably be expected to outweigh the public interest benefits of such communication.

Other information, including the report on activities

Other Information

The other information comprise the report on activities of the for the year ended 31 December 2018 (the “Report on activities”), including the corporate governance statement which is a separate part of the Report on activities (together “Other information”).

Responsibility of the Management Board and Supervisory Board

The Management Board of the Parent Entity is responsible for the Other information in accordance with applicable laws.

The Management Board and members of the Supervisory Board of the Parent Entity are required to ensure that the Report on activities, including separate parts of the Report on activities, is in compliance with the requirements set forth in the Accounting Act.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our opinion on the consolidated financial statements does not cover the Other information.

In connection with our audit of the consolidated financial statements, our responsibility was to read the Other information and, in doing so, consider whether the Other information is materially inconsistent with the consolidated financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit, or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work we performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement in the Other information, we are required to report that fact.

In accordance with the Act on certified auditors our responsibility was to report if the Report on activities was prepared in accordance with applicable laws and the information given in the Report on activities is consistent with the consolidated financial statements.
Moreover, in accordance with the requirements of the Act on certified auditors our responsibility was to report whether the Group included in the statement on corporate governance information required by the applicable laws and regulations, and in relation to specific information indicated in these laws or regulations, to determine whether it complies with the applicable laws and whether it is consistent with the consolidated financial statements and to inform whether the Group disclosed in the Report on activities the name and registered office of its higher-level parent company preparing a separate report of the group on non-financial information that will cover the Parent Entity and all its subsidiaries.

**Opinion on the Report on activities**

Based on the work undertaken in the course of our audit of the consolidated financial statements, in our opinion, the accompanying Report on activities, in all material respects:

— has been prepared in accordance with applicable laws, and
— is consistent with the consolidated financial statements.

**Opinion on the statement on corporate governance**

In our opinion, the corporate governance statement, which is a separate part of the Report on activities, includes the information required by paragraph 70 subparagraph 6 point 5 of the Decree of the Ministry of Finance dated 29 March 2018 on current and periodic information provided by issuers of securities and the conditions for recognition as equivalent of information required by the laws of a non-member state (Official Journal from 2018, item 757) (the “decree”).

Furthermore, in our opinion, the information identified in paragraph 70 subparagraph 6 point 5 letter c-f, h and letter i of the decree, included in the corporate governance statement, in all material respects:

— has been prepared in accordance with applicable laws; and
— is consistent with the consolidated financial statements.

**Information about the statement on non-financial information**

In accordance with the requirements of the Act on certified auditors, we report that using the exemption referred to in art. 55 paragraph 2e of the Accounting Act, the Group disclosed in the Report on activities the name and registered office of its higher-level parent company preparing a separate report of the group on non-financial information that will cover the Parent Entity and all its subsidiaries.

**Report on other legal and regulatory requirements**

**Information on compliance with prudential regulations**

The Management Board of the Parent Entity is responsible for ensuring compliance of the Group’s operations with prudential regulations, including the correctness of determination of capital ratios.

Our obligation is to inform in the audit report whether the Group complies with the applicable prudential regulations specified in separate regulations, and in particular whether the Group has correctly determined the capital ratios presented in Note 6.6 "Equity Management".

The purpose of the audit of the consolidated financial statements was not to express an opinion on the Group’s compliance with the applicable prudential regulations, and therefore we do not express an opinion on this matter.

Based on our audit of the consolidated financial statements, we would like to inform you that we have not identified any breaches
by the Group in the period from 1 January to 31 December 2018 of the applicable prudential regulations set out in separate regulations, in particular as regards the correctness of the Group's determination of capital ratios as at 31 December 2018, which could have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements

Statement on services other than audit of the financial statements

To the best of our knowledge and belief, we did not provide prohibited non-audit services referred to in art. 5 paragraph 1 second subparagraph of the EU Regulation and art. 136 of the act on certified auditors. Services other than audit of the financial statements, which were provided to the Group and entities under the control of the Parent Entity in the audited period are listed in point 8 of the Report on activities.

Appointment of the audit firm

We have been appointed for the first time to audit the annual consolidated financial statements of the Group by resolution of General Shareholders’ Meeting dated 21 June 2018. Our period of total uninterrupted engagement is 1 year.

On behalf of audit firm

KPMG Audyt Spółka z ograniczoną odpowiedzialnością sp.k.
Registration No. 3546

Signed on the Polish original

Marcin Podsiadły
Key Certified Auditor
Registration No. 12774
Limited Partner, Proxy
Warsaw, 25 February 2019

Stacy Ligas
Member of the Management Board of KPMG Audyt Sp. z o.o., entity which is the General Partner of KPMG Audyt spółka z ograniczoną odpowiedzialnością sp. k.