AUDITOR’S REPORT ON THE FULL-YEAR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the General Meeting and the Supervisory Board of Grupa Azoty S.A.

Auditor’s report on the full-year financial statements

We have audited the accompanying full-year financial statements of Grupa Azoty S.A. (the ‘Company’) with its registered office at ul. Kwiatkowskiego 8, Tarnów, Poland, for the year ended December 31st 2017, comprising the statement of profit or loss and other comprehensive income for the financial year from January 1st 2017 to December 31st 2017, the statement of financial position as at December 31st 2017, the statement of changes in equity, the statement of cash flows for the financial year from January 1st 2017 to December 31st 2017, and related notes (the ‘accompanying financial statements’).

Responsibility of the Management Board and Supervisory Board members for the financial statements

The Company’s Management Board is responsible for the preparation, on the basis of properly maintained accounting records, and fair presentation of the financial statements in compliance with the International Accounting Standards, International Financial Reporting Standards and the related interpretations issued in the form of the European Commission’s regulations, as well as other applicable laws and regulations and the Company’s Articles of Association. The Management Board is also responsible for such internal control as it determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In accordance with the Accounting Act of September 29th 1994 (the ‘Accounting Act’), the Management Board of the Company and members of its Supervisory Board are required to ensure that the financial statements comply with the requirements thereof.
Auditor’s responsibility

Our responsibility was to express an opinion on whether the accompanying financial statements give a true and fair view of the Company’s assets and financial position as well its financial performance in compliance with the International Accounting Standards, International Financial Reporting Standards, the related interpretations issued in the form of the European Commission’s regulations, and the applied accounting policies.

We conducted the audit of the accompanying financial statements in accordance with:

- the Polish Act on Statutory Auditors, Audit Firms, and Public Oversight of May 11th 2017 (the ‘Act on Statutory Auditors’).
- the Polish auditing standards, compliant with the International Standards on Auditing, adopted by Resolution No. 2783/52/2015 of the National Council of Statutory Auditors of February 10th 2015, as amended,

These standards and regulations require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the accompanying financial statements are free from material misstatement.

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole were prepared on the basis of properly maintained accounting records and are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor’s report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with the aforementioned standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control and may involve any legal or regulatory area, not just those directly affecting the financial statements.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors’ judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Company’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by the Company’s Management Board, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

The scope of the audit does not include assurance on the future viability of the audited Company or on the efficiency or effectiveness with which the Company’s Management Board has conducted or will conduct the affairs of the Company.

According to International Standard of Auditing 320.5, the concept of materiality is applied by the auditor both in planning and performing the audit, and in evaluating the effect of identified
misstatements on the audit and of uncorrected misstatements, if any, on the financial statements and in forming the auditor’s opinion. Accordingly, all statements contained in the auditor’s report, including the statements on other legal and regulatory requirements, are made taking into account the qualitative and quantitative materiality levels determined in accordance with the auditing standards and the auditor’s judgement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion. The opinion is consistent with the additional report issued for the Audit Committee on the date of this audit report.

**Independence**

While conducting the audit, the lead auditor and the audit firm remained independent of the Company in compliance with the Act on Statutory Auditors, Regulation 537/2014, and professional conduct rules as adopted by the National Council of Statutory Auditors.

To the best of our knowledge and belief, we hereby represent that we have not provided non-audit services, the provision of which is prohibited under Article 136 of the Act on Statutory Auditors and Article 5(1) of Regulation 537/2014.

**Selection of audit firm**

We have been selected to audit the accompanying financial statements of the Company pursuant to the Supervisory Board’s resolution of March 28th 2017. The audit of the Company’s financial statements for the financial year ended December 31st 2017 is our initial audit engagement conducted for the Group.

**Most significant risks**

In the course of the audit, we identified the most-significant risks of material misstatement (key audit matters), including fraud risks, which are described below, and developed appropriate audit procedures for such risks. Where we considered it relevant for understanding an identified risk and audit procedures performed by the auditor, we also included key observations on the particular risk.

These issues were taken into account in the context of our audit of the accompanying financial statements as a whole and when forming our opinion thereon. Accordingly, we have not expressed a separate opinion on these matters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk of material misstatement (key audit matters)</th>
<th>Audit procedures conducted in response to identified risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial audit of financial statements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The audit of the Company’s financial statements for the financial year ended December 31st 2017 was our initial audit engagement conducted for the Company. Given the scale and scope of the Company’s operations and those of the other Grupa Azoty Group members, including members of lower-level groups, the key challenge was to understand the complex organisational structure of the Group and its impact on the processes run within the Company.

While conducting the audit, we carried out a number of additional procedures to understand the nature of the Company’s business, including the processes it involves and risks specific to it, as well as the controls implemented and policies applied by the Company, which have a bearing on the Company’s financial reporting. These procedures allowed us to assess the audit risk, including the inherent risk and control risk, identify the risk of material misstatement and, as a consequence, determine materiality levels and the scope of audit procedures.

As part of our initial audit engagement, the objective of our additional procedures was also to determine whether the opening balances contain misstatements that materially affect the current period’s financial statements and whether the accounting policies reflected in the opening balances have been consistently applied in the current period’s financial statements, or changes thereto are appropriately accounted for and adequately presented in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.

Disclosures made by the Company with regard to the adjustment of the opening balance sheet are presented in Section 2.4 ‘Changes in presentation of financial statements and correction of errors’ of the accompanying financial statements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Our audit procedures in relation to this key audit matter included:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Holding an audit kick-off meeting with key personnel responsible for the Company’s financial reporting and internal meetings with members of the audit unit, including teams responsible for the Group’s key subsidiaries, as well as meetings with specialists to be involved in the audit procedures;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reviewing the controls implemented at the Company and testing selected controls applied to particular processes;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Understanding the Company’s accounting policy and material items recognised in its financial statements based on professional judgment and estimates;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Communicating with the lead auditor acting on behalf of the predecessor audit firm, including discussing key audit matters and reviewing audit records from the prior reporting period;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assessing the prior reporting period’s key audit matters and their impact on the financial statements for the current financial year;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reviewing adjustments made by the Company’s Management Board to the opening balance sheet and assessing whether relevant disclosures made in the financial statements are adequate.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of our procedures as well as the audit strategy as updated in line with these results have been communicated to the Company’s Management Board and Audit Committee.
### Recoverable amounts of deferred tax assets

In the financial statements prepared as at December 31st 2017, the Company presents deferred tax assets of PLN 95m, including unused state aid in the form of a PLN 36m exemption from corporate income tax in respect of business activities conducted in a Special Economic Zone and unused tax losses of PLN 16m.

As at each reporting date, the Company’s Management Board prepares a projection of taxable income and assesses recoverable amounts of deferred tax assets. This assessment is based on estimates and professional judgment of the Company’s management.

For the estimates and assumptions disclosed by the Company with respect to the recoverable amounts of deferred tax assets, see Note 7.4 ‘Deferred tax assets and liabilities’ to the accompanying financial statements.

---

Our audit procedures in relation to this key audit matter included:

- Assessment of accounting policies and procedures, including controls, applied to valuation and recognition of deferred tax assets;
- Assessment of key targets set and estimates made by the Company’s Management Board with respect to projected sales volumes and profit margins, as well as temporary and permanent differences on the basis of which the balance sheet result is adjusted to taxable income;
- Assessment of key targets set in the projections, including verifying target delivery against historical data and comparing short-term targets with budgets adopted by the Management Board;
- Submitting inquiries regarding the delivery of the set targets and the validity of key estimates to the finance personnel and the Management Board of the Company;
- Assessment of the calculations of discounted qualifying expenses incurred by the reporting date, taking into account the levels of state aid received;
- Determining whether the disclosures made in the financial statements with regard to the valuation of deferred tax assets are adequate.

### Analysis of impairment of investments in related entities

In the financial statements prepared as at December 31st 2017, the Company presents shares in related entities of PLN 3,867m, which accounts for 56% of the balance sheet total. The Company has analysed indications of

Our audit procedures in relation to this key audit matter included:

- Submitting inquiries regarding the delivery of the set targets and the validity of key estimates to the finance personnel and the Management Board of the Company;
Impairment of shares in related entities. For shares with a carrying amount of PLN 336m, the Company determined that they needed to be tested for impairment. An analysis of these shares’ recoverable amount is based on the results of impairment tests based on discounted cash flows associated with individual cash generating units.

Impairment tests for shares largely depend on the Management Board’s estimates, including the strategy, future revenue and expenses, planned capital expenditure, weighted average cost of capital, and the marginal growth rate, which depend on expectations with respect to future market and economic conditions. These forecasts are subject to a significant risk of change due to changing market conditions. For these reasons, we identified an analysis of impairment of shares as a key audit matter.

The Company’s disclosures regarding the impairment tests of shares in related entities are presented in Note 14.2 ‘Impairment of investments’ of the accompanying financial statements.

| • Assessment of the Management Board’s analysis of indications of impairment for investments in related entities; |
| • Assessment of the assumed level of weighted average cost of capital, taking into consideration the results of valuation experts’ work and market data; |
| • Assessment of the financial forecasts and macroeconomic assumptions adopted by the Management Board by comparing key assumptions, including discount rates, underlying the impairment test, against market indicators and previously reported revenue streams, costs, margins, and cash flows; |
| • Verification of the mathematical correctness of the DCF model and reconciliation of source data with the operational budgets adopted by the Management Board; |
| • Assessment of the adequacy of disclosures regarding the impairment test, including an assessment of the sensitivity analysis performed by the Management Board, in view of the requirements of IAS 36 Impairment of Assets. |

**Opinion**

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements:

- Give a true and fair view of the Company’s assets and financial position as at December 31st 2017, as well its financial performance in the financial year January 1st–December 31st 2017, in accordance with the International Accounting Standards, International Financial Reporting Standards, the related interpretations issued in the form of the European Commission’s regulations, and the applied accounting policies,
- Were prepared on the basis of properly maintained accounting records, in accordance with Chapter 2 of the Accounting Act,
- Comply with the form and content requirements laid down in the laws and regulations applicable to the Company and the Company’s Articles of Association.

**Other matters**
The financial statements for the previous financial year ended December 31st 2016 were audited by an auditor acting on behalf of another audit firm, who on April 26th 2017 issued an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

**Report on other legal and regulatory requirements**

*Opinion on the Directors’ Report*

Our opinion on the financial statements does not cover the Directors’ Report. The Management Board of the Company is responsible for preparation of the Directors’ Report in accordance with the Accounting Act and other applicable laws. The Management Board of the Company and members of its Supervisory Board are also required to ensure that the Directors’ Report complies with the requirements of the Accounting Act.

In accordance with the Act on Statutory Auditors, our duty was to issue an opinion on whether the Directors’ Report, with the exception of the ‘Non-financial statement’ section, was prepared in accordance with applicable laws and whether it is consistent with the information included in the accompanying financial statements.

Our responsibility was also to submit a representation on whether, based on our knowledge of the Company and its environment obtained during the audit of the accompanying financial statements, we identified any material misstatements in the Directors’ Report and what is the nature of each such material misstatement, if any.
In our opinion, the Directors’ Report has been prepared in accordance with the applicable regulations and is consistent with the information contained in the accompanying financial statements. We further represent that, based on our knowledge of the Company and its environment obtained during the audit of the accompanying financial statements, we did not identify any material misstatements in the Directors’ Report.

**Opinion on the statement of compliance with corporate governance standards**

The Management Board of the Company and members of the Company’s Supervisory Board are responsible for preparing a statement of compliance with corporate governance standards in accordance with the law.

In accordance with the requirements of the Act on Statutory Auditors, in connection with the audit of the accompanying financial statements, our duty was to: (i) issue an opinion on whether the issuer obliged to submit a statement of compliance with corporate governance standards, included as a separate part in the Directors’ Report, presented in that statement information required by law, and (ii) with respect to certain information specified in these laws and regulations, determine whether it complies with the applicable regulations and is consistent with the information contained in the accompanying financial statements.

In our opinion, in the statement of compliance with corporate governance standards, the Company included the information specified in Par. 91.5.4.a, b, g, j, k and l of the Minister of Finance’s Regulation on current and periodic information to be published by issuers of securities and conditions for recognition as equivalent of information whose disclosure is required under the laws of a non-member state, dated February 19th 2009 (‘Regulation’). The information specified in Par. 91.5.4.c–f, h and i of the Regulation, contained in the statement of compliance with corporate governance standards, complies with the applicable regulations and is consistent with the information contained in the accompanying financial statements.

**Information on preparation of non-financial statement**

In accordance with the requirements of the Act on Statutory Auditors, we represent that in the Directors’ Report the Company included information on the preparation of a separate non-financial statement, referred to in Art. 49b.9 of the Accounting Act, and that the Company did prepare such separate statement.

We did not perform any assurance work regarding the separate non-financial statement and we do not give any assurance about it.

**Other information, including on fulfilment of obligations imposed by applicable laws**

Moreover, in our opinion, in Note 33 the Company presented statement of financial position items and statement of profit or loss items separately for its business activities consisting in electricity distribution and gas fuel trading in accordance with, in all material respects, Art. 44 of the Energy Law (the ‘Energy Law’) of April 10th 1997.
The scope of regulatory financial information included in Note 33 is defined in Art. 44 of the Energy Law. In our audit, we did not assess whether the information required to be disclosed by the Energy Law was sufficient to ensure non-discrimination of customers and elimination of cross subsidization between different lines of business.
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